

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/309,868	09/21/1994	HIDENARI YASUI	28	6704	
75	90 12/01/2006		EXAMINER		
FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS			BECKER, DREW E		
2026 RAMBLII KALAMAZOO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V		
Office Action Summary		08/309,868	YASUI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Drew E. Becker	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this cor			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Second This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims	•		, ,		
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 2-5,11,12,15 and 16 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-5,11,12,15 and 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken and the correction of the checken and	vn from consideration. Telection requirement. The prediction objected to by the light and the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing (s) is objected to by the light and the drawing (s) is objected to by the light and the drawing (s) is objected to by the light and the drawing (s) is objected to by the light and the light	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-5, 11-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The application does not appear to disclose at least: ozonizing and recycling only "a part of" the aerated aqueous suspension, or "the amount of biosludge ozonized and converted into BOD components is greater than the difference between the amount of biosludge generated and the amount of biosludge lost by autolysis.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 11-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 recite the limitation "the amount of biosludge generated".

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, it is not

Art Unit: 1761

clear what amount this refers to since there is no previous mention of generating biosludge within the claim.

6. Claims 11 and 12 recite the limitation "the amount of biosludge lost by autolysis". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, it is not clear what amount this refers to since there is no previous mention of "biosludge lost by autolysis" within the claim.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-5. 11-12, and 15-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 08/309,868 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREWBECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/29/06